

d-18 The Čech–Stone Compactifications of \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{R}

It is safe to say that among the *Čech–Stone compactifications* of individual spaces, that of the space \mathbb{N} of natural numbers is the most widely studied. A good candidate for second place is $\beta\mathbb{R}$. This article highlights some of the most striking properties of both compactifications.

1. Description of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ and \mathbb{N}^*

The space $\beta\mathbb{N}$ (aka $\beta\omega$) appeared anonymously in [10] as an example of a *compact Hausdorff* space without non-trivial converging sequences. The construction went as follows: for every $x \in (0, 1)$ let $0.a_1(x)a_2(x)\dots a_n(x)\dots$ be its dyadic expansion (favouring the one that ends in zeros). This gives us a countable set $A = \{a_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of points in the *Tychonoff cube* $[0, 1]^{(0,1)}$. The closure of A is the required space. To see that this closure is indeed $\beta\mathbb{N}$ one checks that the map $n \mapsto a_n$ induces a homeomorphism of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ onto $\text{cl } A$. Indeed, it suffices to observe that whenever X is a coinfinite subset of \mathbb{N} one has $a_n(x) = 1$ iff $n \in X$, where $x = \sum_{n \in X} 2^{-n}$.

The present-day description of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ is as the *Stone space* of the *Boolean algebra* $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$. Thus the underlying set of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ is the set of all *ultrafilters* on \mathbb{N} with the family $\{\bar{X} : X \subseteq \mathbb{N}\}$ as a base for the open sets, where \bar{X} denotes the set of all ultrafilters of which X is an element. The space $\beta\mathbb{N}$ is a *separable* and *extremally disconnected* compact Hausdorff space and its cardinality is the maximum possible, i.e., 2^c .

Most of the research on $\beta\mathbb{N}$ concentrates on its *remainder* $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, which, as usual, is denoted \mathbb{N}^* . By extension one writes $X^* = \bar{X} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ for subsets X of \mathbb{N} . The family $\mathcal{B} = \{X^* : X \subseteq \mathbb{N}\}$ is precisely the family of *clopen* sets of \mathbb{N}^* . Because $X^* \subseteq Y^*$ iff $X \setminus Y$ is finite the algebra \mathcal{B} is isomorphic to the quotient algebra $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{fin}$ of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ by the ideal of finite sets – hence \mathbb{N}^* is the Stone space of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{fin}$. Much topological information about \mathbb{N}^* comes from knowledge of the combinatorial properties of this algebra. In practice one works in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ with all relations taken modulo finite. We use, e.g., $X \subseteq^* Y$ to denote that $X \setminus Y$ is finite, $X \subset^* Y$ to denote that $X \subseteq^* Y$ but not $Y \subseteq^* X$, and so on. In this context the word ‘almost’ is mostly used in place of ‘modulo finite’, thus ‘ A and B are almost disjoint’ means $A \cap B =^* \emptyset$.

2. Basic properties of \mathbb{N}^*

Many results about \mathbb{N}^* are found by constructing special families of subsets of \mathbb{N} , although the actual work is often done on a suitable countable set different from \mathbb{N} .

The proof that $\beta\mathbb{N}$ has cardinality 2^c employs an **independent family**, which we define on the countable set $\{(n, s) : n \in \mathbb{N}, s \subseteq \mathcal{P}(n)\}$. For every subset x of \mathbb{N} put $I_x = \{(n, s) : x \cap n \in s\}$. Now if F and G are finite disjoint subsets of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ then $\bigcap_{x \in F} I_x \setminus \bigcup_{y \in G} I_y$ is non-empty – this is what independent means. Sending $u \in \beta\mathbb{N}$ to the point $p_u \in {}^c 2$, defined by $p_u(x) = 1$ iff $I_x \in u$, gives us a continuous map from $\beta\mathbb{N}$ onto ${}^c 2$. Thus the existence of an independent family of size c easily implies the well-known fact that the *Cantor cube* ${}^c 2$ is separable; conversely, if D is dense in ${}^c 2$ then setting $I_\alpha = \{d \in D : d_\alpha = 0\}$ defines an independent family on D . Any closed subset F of \mathbb{N}^* such that the restriction to F of the map onto ${}^c 2$ is irreducible is (homeomorphic with) the *absolute* of ${}^c 2$. If a point u of \mathbb{N}^* belongs to such an F then every compactification of the space $\mathbb{N} \cup \{u\}$ contains a copy of $\beta\mathbb{N}$.

Next we prove that \mathbb{N}^* is very non-separable by working on the tree $2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of finite sequences of zeros and ones. For every $x \in {}^{\mathbb{N}} 2$ let $A_x = \{x \upharpoonright n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then $\{A_x : x \in {}^{\mathbb{N}} 2\}$ is an **almost disjoint family** of cardinality c and so $\{A_x^* : x \in {}^{\mathbb{N}} 2\}$ is a disjoint family of clopen sets in \mathbb{N}^* .

We can use this family also to show that \mathbb{N}^* is not extremely disconnected. Let Q denote the points in ${}^{\mathbb{N}} 2$ that are constant on a tail (these correspond to the endpoints of the *Cantor set* in $[0, 1]$) and let $P = {}^{\mathbb{N}} 2 \setminus Q$. Then $O_Q = \bigcup_{x \in Q} A_x^*$ and $O_P = \bigcup_{x \in P} A_x^*$ are disjoint open sets in \mathbb{N}^* , yet $\text{cl } O_Q \cap \text{cl } O_P \neq \emptyset$, for if A is such that $A_x \subseteq^* A$ for all $x \in P$ then the *Baire Category Theorem* may be applied to find $x \in Q$ with $A_x \subseteq^* A$. This example shows that \mathbb{N}^* is not **basically disconnected**: O_Q is an open F_σ -set whose closure is not open.

The algebra $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{fin}$ has two countable (in)completeness properties. The first states that when $\langle b_n \rangle_n$ is a decreasing sequence of non-zero elements there is an x with $b_n > x > 0$ for all n ; in topological terms: nonempty G_δ -sets on \mathbb{N}^* have nonempty interiors and \mathbb{N}^* has no isolated points. The second property says that when $\langle b_n \rangle_n$ is as above and, in addition, $\langle a_n \rangle_n$ is an increasing sequence with $a_n < b_n$ for all n there is an x with $a_n < x < b_n$ for all n ; in topological terms: disjoint open F_σ -sets in \mathbb{N}^* have disjoint closures, i.e., \mathbb{N}^* is an *F-space*.

We now turn to sets A and B where no interpolating x can be found, that is, we look for A and B such that $\bigvee A' < \bigwedge B'$ whenever $A' \in [A]^{<\omega}$ and $B' \in [B]^{<\omega}$ but for which there is no x with $a \leq x \leq b$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. The minimum cardinalities of sets like these are called **cardinal characteristics of the continuum** and these cardinal numbers play an important role in the study of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ and \mathbb{N}^* .

We have already seen such a situation with a countable A and a B of cardinality \mathfrak{c} : the families $\{A_x^*\}_{x \in Q}$ and $\{\mathbb{N}^* \setminus A_x^*\}_{x \in P}$. However, this case, of a countably infinite A , is best visualized on the countable set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ put $a_n = (n \times \mathbb{N})^*$ and for $f \in {}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}$ put $b_f = \{(m, n) : n \geq f(m)\}^*$; then $A = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $B = \{b_f : f \in {}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}\}$ are as required: if $a_n < x$ for all n then one readily finds an f such that $b_f < x$ (make sure $\{n\} \times [f(n), \infty) \subset x$). A pair like (A, B) above is called an (unfillable) **gap**; gap, because, as in a Dedekind gap, one has $a < b$ whenever $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, and unfillable because there is no x such that $a \leq x \leq b$ for all a and b . Because unfillable gaps are the most interesting one drops the adjective and speaks of gaps.

One does not need the full set ${}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}$ to create a gap; it suffices to have a subset U such that for all $g \in {}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}$ there is $f \in U$ such that $\{n : f(n) \geq g(n)\}$ is infinite. The minimum cardinality of such a set is denoted \mathfrak{b} .

The properties of \mathfrak{b} and its bigger brother \mathfrak{d} are best explained using the relation $<^*$ on ${}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}$ where, in keeping with the notation established above, $f <^* g$ means that $\{n : f(n) \geq g(n)\}$ is finite. The definition of \mathfrak{b} given above identifies it as the minimum cardinality of an unbounded – with respect to $<^*$ – subset of ${}^{\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{N}$; unbounded is not the same as dominating (cofinal), the minimum cardinality of a dominating subset is denoted \mathfrak{d} and it is called the **dominating number**.

If one identifies \mathbb{N} and $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, as above, then one recognizes \mathfrak{d} as the character of the closed set $F = \text{cl} \bigcup_n A_n^*$ and \mathfrak{b} as the minimum number of clopen sets needed to create an open subset of $\mathbb{N}^* \setminus F$ whose closure meets F . In either characterization of \mathfrak{b} the defining family can be taken to be well-ordered.

In case where A is finite one can simplify matters by letting $A = \{0\}$. If B is an ultrafilter then there is no x with $0 < x < b$ for all $b \in B$, hence there are filters whose only lower bound is 0; the minimum cardinality of a base for such a filter is denoted \mathfrak{p} . Alternatively one can ask for chains without positive lower bound: the minimum length of such a chain is denoted \mathfrak{t} – it is called the **tower number**. A defining family for the cardinal \mathfrak{t} can be used to create a separable, normal, and sequentially compact spaces that is not compact.

When we let A become uncountable we encounter two important types of objects of cardinality \aleph_1 : Hausdorff gaps and Lusin families. A **Hausdorff gap** [5] is a pair of sequences $A = \langle a_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ and $B = \langle b_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ of elements such that $a_\alpha < a_\beta < b_\beta < b_\alpha$ whenever $\alpha < \beta$ but for which there is no x with $a_\alpha < x < b_\alpha$ for all α . A **Lusin family** [7] is an almost disjoint family \mathcal{A} of cardinality \aleph_1 such that no two uncountable and disjoint subfamilies of \mathcal{A} can be separated, i.e., if \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} are disjoint and uncountable then there is no set X such that $B \subseteq^* X$ and $X \cap C =^* \emptyset$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}$. One can parametrize the F -space property: an F_κ -**space** is one in which disjoint open sets that are the union of fewer than κ many closed sets have disjoint closures. The two families above show that \mathbb{N}^* is not an F_{\aleph_2} -space.

By the Axiom of Choice every almost disjoint family can be extended to a **Maximal Almost Disjoint family** (a **MAD family**). If \mathcal{A} is a MAD family then $\mathbb{N}^* \setminus \bigcup \{A^* : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is **nowhere dense** and every nowhere dense set is contained in such a ‘canonical’ nowhere dense set. The minimum number of nowhere dense sets whose union is dense in \mathbb{N}^* is denoted \mathfrak{h} and is called the **weak Novák number**. It is equal to the minimum number of MAD families without a common MAD refinement – in Boolean terms, it is the minimum κ for which $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{fin}$ is *not* (κ, ∞) -**distributive**.

Interestingly [1], one can find a sequence $\langle \mathcal{A}_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{h} \rangle$ of MAD families, without common refinement and such that (1) \mathcal{A}_β refines \mathcal{A}_α whenever $\alpha < \beta$; (2) if $A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ then $\{B \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha+1} : B \subseteq^* A\}$ has cardinality \mathfrak{c} ; and (3) the family $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_\alpha \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ is dense in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{fin}$ – topologically: $\{A^* : A \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is a π -**base**. This all implies that, with hindsight, there is an increasing sequence of closed nowhere dense sets of length \mathfrak{h} whose union is dense and that \mathfrak{h} is a regular cardinal; also note that \mathcal{T} is a **tree** under the ordering \supseteq^* .

One can use such a tree, of minimal height, in inductive constructions, e.g., as in [2] to show that \mathbb{N}^* is very non-extremally disconnected: every point is a **c-point**, which means that one can find a family of \mathfrak{c} many disjoint open sets each of which has the point in its closure. An important open problem, at the time of writing, is whether this can be proved for every nowhere dense set, i.e., if for every nowhere dense subset of \mathbb{N}^* one can find a family of \mathfrak{c} disjoint open sets each of which has this set in its boundary – in short, whether every nowhere dense set is a **c-set**.

The latter problem is equivalent to a purely combinatorial one on MAD families: for every MAD family \mathcal{A} the family $\mathcal{I}^+(\mathcal{A})$ should have an **almost disjoint refinement**. Here $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$ is the ideal generated by \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{I}^+(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A})$; an **almost disjoint refinement** of a family \mathcal{B} is an indexed almost disjoint family $\{A_B : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ with $A_B \subseteq^* B$ for all B . Another characterization asks for enough (on even one) MAD families of true cardinality \mathfrak{c} , i.e., if $X \in \mathcal{I}^+(\mathcal{A})$ then $X \cap A \neq^* \emptyset$ for \mathfrak{c} many members of \mathcal{A} . If the minimum size of a MAD family, denoted \mathfrak{a} , is equal to \mathfrak{c} then this is clearly the case, however there are various models with $\mathfrak{a} < \mathfrak{c}$.

3. Homogeneity

Given that the algebra $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{fin}$ is homogeneous it is somewhat of a surprise to learn that the space \mathbb{N}^* is not a **homogeneous space**, i.e., there are two points x and y for which there is no autohomeomorphism h of \mathbb{N}^* with $h(x) = y$.

The first example of this phenomenon is from [9]: the **Continuum Hypothesis** (CH) implies that \mathbb{N}^* has **P -points**; a P -point is one for which the family of neighbourhoods is closed under countable intersections. Clearly \mathbb{N}^* has non- P -points (as does every infinite compact space), so CH implies \mathbb{N}^* is not homogeneous. To construct P -points one does not need the full force of CH, the equality $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{c}$ suffices.

In [4] one finds a proof of the non-homogeneity of \mathbb{N}^* that avoids CH; it uses the **Rudin–Frolík order** on \mathbb{N}^* , which is defined by: $u \sqsubset v$ iff there is an embedding $f: \beta\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $f(u) = v$. If $u \sqsubset v$ then there is no autohomeomorphism of \mathbb{N}^* that maps u to v . This proof works to show that no compact F -space is homogeneous: if X is such a space then one can embed $\beta\mathbb{N}$ into it, no autohomeomorphism of X can map (the copy of) u to (the copy of) v .

That this proof avoiding CH was really necessary became clear when the consistency of “ \mathbb{N}^* has no P -points” was proved. In [6] the P -point proof was salvaged partially: \mathbb{N}^* has **weak P -points**, i.e., points that are not accumulation points of any countable subset.

Though not all points of \mathbb{N}^* are P -points one may still try to cover \mathbb{N}^* by nowhere dense P -sets. Under CH this cannot be done but it is consistent that it can be done. The principle NCF implies that \mathbb{N}^* is the union of a chain, of length \mathfrak{d} , of nowhere dense P -sets. The principle NCF (**Near Coherence of Filters**) says that any two ultrafilters on \mathbb{N} are **nearly coherent**, i.e., if $u, v \in \mathbb{N}^*$ then there is a finite-to-one $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta f(u) = \beta f(v)$.

This in turn implies that the **Rudin–Keisler order** is downward directed; we say $u < v$ if there is some $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $u = f(v)$. This is a preorder on $\beta\mathbb{N}$ and a partial order on the **types** of $\beta\mathbb{N}$: if $u < v$ and $v < u$ then there is a permutation of \mathbb{N} that send u to v . The Rudin–Frolík and Rudin–Keisler orders are related: $u \sqsubset v$ implies $u < v$, so \sqsubset is a partial order on the types as well.

Both orders have been studied extensively; we mention some of the more salient results. There are \sqsubset -minimal points in \mathbb{N}^* : weak P -points are such. Points that are $<$ -minimal in \mathbb{N}^* are called **selective ultrafilters**; they exist if CH holds but they do not exist in the **random real** model. There are $<$ -incomparable points (even a family of $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ many $<$ -incomparable points) but it is not known whether for every point there is another point $<$ -incomparable to it. The order \sqsubset is tree-like: types below a fixed type are linearly ordered.

4. The continuum hypothesis and $\beta\mathbb{N}$

The behaviour of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ and, especially, \mathbb{N}^* under the assumption of the Continuum Hypothesis (CH) is very well understood. The principal reason is that the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/fin$ is characterized by the properties of being atomless, countably saturated and of cardinality $\mathfrak{c} = \aleph_1$. Topologically, \mathbb{N}^* is, up to homeomorphism, the unique compact zero-dimensional without isolated points, which is an F -space in which nonempty G_δ -sets have non-empty interior and which is of weight \mathfrak{c} . This is known as Parovičenko’s characterization of \mathbb{N}^* and it implies that whenever X is compact zero-dimensional and of weight \mathfrak{c} (or less) the remainder $(\mathbb{N} \times X)^*$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{N}^* . This provides us with many incarnations of \mathbb{N}^* , e.g., as $(\mathbb{N} \times {}^{\mathfrak{c}}2)^*$, which immediately provides us with $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ many autohomeomorphisms of \mathbb{N}^* , or as $(\mathbb{N} \times (\omega + 1))^*$, which gives us a

P -set in \mathbb{N}^* , viz. $(\mathbb{N} \times \{\omega\})^*$, that is homeomorphic to \mathbb{N}^* itself.

Parovičenko’s ‘other theorem’ states that every compact space of weight \aleph_1 (or less) is a continuous image of \mathbb{N}^* , whence under CH the space \mathbb{N}^* is a universal compactum of weight \mathfrak{c} , in the mapping onto sense.

Virtually everything known about \mathbb{N}^* under CH follows from Parovičenko’s theorems. To give the flavour we show that a compact zero-dimensional space can be embedded into \mathbb{N}^* if (and clearly only if) it is an F -space of weight \mathfrak{c} (or less). Indeed, let X be such a space and take a P -set A in \mathbb{N}^* that is homeomorphic to \mathbb{N}^* and a continuous onto map $f: A \rightarrow X$. This induces an upper-semi-continuous decomposition of \mathbb{N}^* whose quotient space, the **adjunction space** $\mathbb{N}^* \cup_f X$, can be shown to have all the properties that characterize \mathbb{N}^* , hence it is \mathbb{N}^* and we have embedded X into \mathbb{N}^* , even as a P -set.

In the absence of CH very few of the consequences of Parovičenko’s theorems remain true. The characterization theorem is in fact equivalent to CH and for many concrete spaces, like $\mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{N}^*$, \mathbb{R}^* , $(\mathbb{N} \times (\omega + 1))^*$ and the Stone space of the **measure algebra** it is *not* a theorem of ZFC that they are continuous images of \mathbb{N}^* . It is also consistent with ZFC that all autohomeomorphisms of \mathbb{N}^* are **trivial**, i.e., induced by bijections between cofinite sets. This is a far cry from the $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ autohomeomorphisms that we got from CH. It is also consistent that \mathbb{N}^* cannot be homeomorphic to a nowhere dense P -subset of itself; this leaves open a major question: is there a non-trivial copy of \mathbb{N}^* in itself, i.e., one not of the form $\text{cl } D \setminus D$ for some countable discrete subset of \mathbb{N}^* .

A good place to start exploring $\beta\mathbb{N}$ is van Mill’s survey [KV, Chapter 11].

5. Cardinal numbers

The cardinal numbers mentioned above are all uncountable and not larger than \mathfrak{c} , so the Continuum Hypothesis (CH) implies that all are equal to \aleph_1 . More generally, **Martin’s Axiom** implies all are equal to \mathfrak{c} . One can prove certain inequalities between the characteristics, e.g., $\aleph_1 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq \mathfrak{t} \leq \mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{b} \leq \mathfrak{d}$. Intriguingly it is as yet unknown whether $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{t}$ is provable, what is known is that $\mathfrak{p} = \aleph_1$ implies $\mathfrak{t} = \aleph_1$.

One proves $\mathfrak{b} \leq \mathfrak{a}$ but neither $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathfrak{d}$ nor $\mathfrak{d} \leq \mathfrak{a}$ is provable in ZFC.

Two more characteristics have received a fair amount of attention, the **splitting number** \mathfrak{s} is the minimum cardinality of a splitting family, that is, a family \mathcal{S} such that for every infinite X there is $S \in \mathcal{S}$ with $X \cap S$ and $X \setminus S$ infinite; and its dual, the **reaping number** \mathfrak{r} , which is the minimum cardinality of a family that cannot be reaped (or split), that is, a family \mathcal{R} such that for every infinite X there is $R \in \mathcal{R}$ such that one of $X \cap R$ and $X \setminus R$ is not infinite.

Topologically \mathfrak{s} is the minimum κ for which κ^2 is not **sequentially compact** and \mathfrak{r} is equal to the minimum **π -character** of points in \mathbb{N}^* .

Further inequalities between these characteristics are, e.g., $\mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{d}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \leq \mathfrak{r}$.

These ‘small’ cardinals are the subject of ongoing research; good introductions are [KV, Chapter 3] and [vMR, Chapter 11].

6. Properties of $\beta\mathbb{R}$

Instead of $\beta\mathbb{R}$ one usually considers $\beta\mathbb{H}$, where \mathbb{H} is the positive half line $[0, \infty)$. This is because $x \mapsto -x$ induces an autohomeomorphism of $\beta\mathbb{R}$ that shows that $\beta[0, \infty)$ and $\beta(-\infty, 0]$ are the same thing.

In a sense $\beta\mathbb{H}$ looks like $\beta\mathbb{N}$ in that it is a thin locally compact space with a large compact lump at the end; this remainder \mathbb{H}^* has some properties in common with \mathbb{N}^* : both are F -spaces in which nonempty G_δ -sets have nonempty interior, both have tree π -bases and neither is an F_{\aleph_2} -space. Under CH the spaces \mathbb{H}^* and \mathbb{N}^* have homeomorphic dense sets of P -points. That is where the superficial similarities end because $\beta\mathbb{H}$ and \mathbb{H}^* are connected and $\beta\mathbb{N}$ and \mathbb{N}^* most certainly are not.

A deeper similarity is a version of Parovičenko’s universality theorem: every continuum of weight \aleph_1 (or less) is a continuous image of \mathbb{H}^* , so that, under CH, the space \mathbb{H}^* is a universal continuum of weight \mathfrak{c} . A version of Parovičenko’s characterization theorem for \mathbb{H}^* is yet to be found.

The structure of \mathbb{H}^* as-a-continuum is very interesting. Most references for what follows can be found in [HvM, Chapter 9].

The following construction is crucial for our understanding of the structure of the continuum \mathbb{H}^* : take a discrete sequence $\langle [a_n, b_n] \rangle_n$ of non-trivial closed intervals and an ultrafilter u on \mathbb{N} . The intersection

$$I = \bigcap_{U \in u} \text{cl} \left(\bigcup_{n \in U} [a_n, b_n] \right)$$

is a continuum, often called a **standard subcontinuum** of \mathbb{H}^* . What is striking about this construction is not its simplicity but that these (deceptively) simple continua govern the continuum-theoretic properties of \mathbb{H}^* . Every proper subcontinuum (in particular every point) is contained in a standard subcontinuum – it is in fact the intersection of some family of standard subcontinua. From this one shows that \mathbb{H}^* is hereditarily **unicoherent** – every finite intersection of standard subcontinua is a standard subcontinuum or a point – and **indecomposable** – standard subcontinua are nowhere dense.

From the other direction every subcontinuum is also the union of a suitable family of standard subcontinua. Thus, no subcontinuum of \mathbb{H}^* is hereditarily indecomposable, as standard subcontinua have **cut points**. Indeed, I_u contains the ultraproduct $\prod_n [a_n, b_n]/u$, as a dense set: the equivalence class of a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_n$ corresponds to its own u -limit, denoted x_u . The subspace topology of this ultraproduct coincides with its **order topology** and every point of the ultraproduct (except a_u and b_u) is a cut point of I_u and so a **weak**

cut point (i.e., cut point of some subcontinuum) of \mathbb{H}^* . Although the continuum I_u is an **irreducible continuum**, i.e., no smaller continuum contains its **end points** a_u and b_u , it is definitely not an ordered continuum. It is an F -space so the closure of an increasing sequence of points in the ultraproduct is homeomorphic to $\beta\mathbb{N}$ (in an ordered continuum it would have to be $\omega + 1$). The ‘supremum’ of such a sequence of points in I_u is an irreducibility layer of I_u ; this layer is non-trivial (it contains \mathbb{N}^*) and indecomposable. Adding all these facts together we can deduce that a maximal chain of indecomposable subcontinua of \mathbb{H}^* has a one-point intersection; such a point is not a weak cut point of \mathbb{H}^* . Thus \mathbb{H}^* is shown to be not homogeneous by purely continuum-theoretic means. There is a natural quasi-order on an irreducible continuum: in the present case $x \leq y$ means “every continuum that contains a_u and y also contains x ”. An **irreducibility layer** is an equivalence class for the equivalence relation “ $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$ ”.

The weak cut points constructed above are **near points** and, in fact, every near point is a weak cut point of \mathbb{H}^* . Under CH one can construct different kinds of weak cut points: **far** but not **remote** and even remote. Under CH it is also possible to map a remote weak cut point to a near point by an autohomeomorphism of \mathbb{H}^* . On the other hand it is consistent that all weak cut points are near points and hence that the far points are topologically invariant in \mathbb{H}^* . A similar consistency result for remote points is still wanting.

The **composant** of a point x of \mathbb{H}^* meets \mathbb{N}^* in the ultrafilter $\{U: x \in \text{cl} \bigcup_{n \in U} [n, n + 1]\}$ and two points from \mathbb{N}^* are in the same composant of \mathbb{H}^* iff they are nearly coherent. Therefore, the structure of the set of composants of \mathbb{H}^* is determined by the family of finite-to-one maps of \mathbb{N} to itself. This implies that the number of composants may be $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ (e.g., if CH holds), 1 (this is equivalent to the NCF principle) or 2 (in other models of set theory); whether other numbers are possible is unknown.

The number of (homeomorphism types of) subcontinua of \mathbb{H}^* is as yet a function of Set Theory: in ZFC one can establish the lower bound of 14. Under CH there are \aleph_1 types even though in one respect CH act as an equalizer: CH is equivalent to the statement that all standard subcontinua are mutually homeomorphic. Most of the ZFC continua are found as intervals in an I_u with points and non-trivial layers at their ends and with varying cofinalities.

References

[1] B. Balcar, J. Pelant and P. Simon, *The space of ultrafilters on \mathbb{N} covered by nowhere dense sets*, Fund. Math. **110** (1980), 11–24.
 [2] B. Balcar and P. Vojtáš, *Almost disjoint refinement of families of subsets of \mathbb{N}* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1980), 465–470.
 [3] W.W. Comfort and S. Negrepontis, *The Theory of Ultrafilters*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. Einzeldarstellungen, Band 211, Springer, Berlin (1974).

- [4] Z. Frolík, *Sums of ultrafilters*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 87–91.
- [5] F. Hausdorff, *Summen von \aleph_1 Mengen*, Fund. Math. **26** (1936), 241–255.
- [6] K. Kunen, *Weak P -points in \mathbb{N}^** , Topology, Vol. II, Proc. Fourth Colloq., Budapest, 1978, Á. Császár, ed., North-Holland, Amsterdam (1980), 741–749.
- [7] N.N. Luzin, *O chastyakh natural'nogo ryada*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. **11** (1947), 403–410.
- [8] I.I. Parovičenko, *A universal bcompact of weight \aleph* , Soviet Math. Dokl. **4** (1963), 592–595, Russian original: *Ob odnom universal'nom bikompakte vesa \aleph* , Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **150** (1963), 36–39.
- [9] W. Rudin, *Homogeneity problems in the theory of Čech compactifications*, Duke Math. J. **23** (1956), 409–419, 633.
- [10] A. Tychonoff, *Über einen Funktionenraum*, Math. Ann. **111** (1935), 762–766.

Alan Dow and Klaas Pieter Hart
Charlotte, NC, USA and Delft, The Netherlands