



Nutzungsbedingungen

DigiZeitschriften e.V. gewährt ein nicht exklusives, nicht übertragbares, persönliches und beschränktes Recht auf Nutzung dieses Dokuments. Dieses Dokument ist ausschließlich für den persönlichen, nicht kommerziellen Gebrauch bestimmt. Das Copyright bleibt bei den Herausgebern oder sonstigen Rechteinhabern. Als Nutzer sind Sie nicht dazu berechtigt, eine Lizenz zu übertragen, zu transferieren oder an Dritte weiter zu geben.

Die Nutzung stellt keine Übertragung des Eigentumsrechts an diesem Dokument dar und gilt vorbehaltlich der folgenden Einschränkungen: Sie müssen auf sämtlichen Kopien dieses Dokuments alle Urheberrechtshinweise und sonstigen Hinweise auf gesetzlichen Schutz beibehalten; und Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht in irgend einer Weise abändern, noch dürfen Sie dieses Dokument für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen; es sei denn, es liegt Ihnen eine schriftliche Genehmigung von DigiZeitschriften e.V. und vom Herausgeber oder sonstigen Rechteinhaber vor.

Mit dem Gebrauch von DigiZeitschriften e.V. und der Verwendung dieses Dokuments erkennen Sie die Nutzungsbedingungen an.

Terms of use

DigiZeitschriften e.V. grants the non-exclusive, non-transferable, personal and restricted right of using this document. This document is intended for the personal, non-commercial use. The copyright belongs to the publisher or to other copyright holders. You do not have the right to transfer a licence or to give it to a third party.

Use does not represent a transfer of the copyright of this document, and the following restrictions apply:

You must abide by all notices of copyright or other legal protection for all copies taken from this document; and You may not change this document in any way, nor may you duplicate, exhibit, display, distribute or use this document for public or commercial reasons unless you have the written permission of DigiZeitschriften e.V. and the publisher or other copyright holders.

By using DigiZeitschriften e.V. and this document you agree to the conditions of use.

Kontakt / Contact

DigiZeitschriften e.V.

Papendiek 14

37073 Goettingen

Email: digizeitschriften@sub.uni-goettingen.de

A DOWKER GROUP
Klaas Pieter HART, Heikki JUNNILA and Jan van MILL

Abstract: We construct, in ZFC, a normal topological group, whose product with the circle group is not normal.

Key words and phrases: Topological group, normal, countably paracompact.

Classification: 22A05, 54D15, 54D18, 54G20.

0. Introduction. The purpose of this note is to give an example of a Dowker group: i.e. a normal topological group whose product with the circle group is not normal. We construct our example in ZFC alone, applying the $B(X)$ -construction from [HavM] to a minor modification of M.E. Rudin's Dowker space [Ru]. The paper is organized as follows: Section 1 contains some definitions and preliminaries. In Section 2 we repeat the construction of $B(X)$ and give some generalizations of the results from [HavM] in order to be able to show that for the modified Dowker space X of Section 4 $B(X)$ is a topological group. In Section 3 we describe the Rudin's Dowker space R and show that under $\neg CH$ $B(R)$ is not a topological group. Our construction shows once more the usefulness of Rudin's example: In [DovM] R was used to construct an extremally disconnected Dowker space.

1. Definitions and preliminaries. For topology see [En], for set theory see [Ku].

1.0. Free Boolean groups. Recall that a Boolean group is a group in which every element has order at most 2. Such groups are always Abelian.

For a set X we define the free Boolean group $B(X)$ of X to be the unique (up to isomorphism) Boolean group containing X such that every function from X to a Boolean group extends to a unique homomorphism from $B(X)$ to that group. For example $B(X) = \{x \in X^2 : |x^{-1}(1)| < \omega\}$ as a subgroup of X^2 . We shall write the elements of $B(X)$ as formal Boolean sums of elements of X . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define $\varphi_n: X^n \rightarrow B(X)$ by $\varphi_n(x) = x_1 + \dots + x_n$ and let $X_n = \varphi_n[X^n]$.

1.1. P_{\aleph} -spaces. Let X be a topological space. We call X a P_{\aleph} -space, where \aleph is a cardinal, iff whenever \mathcal{U} is a collection of fewer than \aleph open subsets of X , $\bigcap \mathcal{U}$ is open.

1.2. $k(X)$. For a space X we let

$$k(X) = \min \{ \aleph \geq \omega : \text{Every open cover of } X \text{ has a subcover of cardinality less than } \aleph \}.$$

Observe that $k(X) = \omega$ iff X is compact. Thus $k(X)$ might be called the compactness number of X .

From now on we assume that all spaces are Hausdorff. Observe that if X is a P_{ω} -space with $k(X) = \omega$ then X is simply a compact space.

For regular \aleph , P_{\aleph} -spaces with compactness number \aleph behave like compact spaces.

1.3. Proposition. Let X be a P_{\aleph} -space with $k(X) = \aleph$, \aleph regular. Then

- (i) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ X^n is a P_{\aleph} -space and $k(X^n) = \aleph$.

(ii) If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous where Y is a $P_{\mathfrak{u}}$ -space (and Hausdorff) then f is closed.

(iii) X is normal.

Proof: Imitate the proof for $\mathfrak{u} = \omega$. Note that only (i) needs regularity of \mathfrak{u} .

2. $B(X)$ revisited. We begin this section by repeating the construction of a topology for $B(X)$ given in [HavM].

2.0. Construction. Let X be a topological space. We define a topology on $B(X)$ as follows:

First for each n let τ_n be the quotient topology on X_n determined by X^n and φ_n . We then define

$$\tau = \{U \subseteq B(X) : U \cap X_n \in \tau_n \text{ for all } n\},$$

i.e. τ is the topology on $B(X)$ determined by the spaces

$\langle X_n, \tau_n \rangle$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Henceforth we will always assume that $B(X)$ carries this topology.

We now list some properties of $B(X)$, proved in [HavM]. Remember that all spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff.

2.1. Properties of $B(X)$.

(o) Both E and O are clopen in $B(X)$.

(i) Translations are continuous, hence $B(X)$ is homogeneous.

(ii) For each n $\langle X_n, \tau_n \rangle$ is a closed subspace of $\langle X_{n+2}, \tau_{n+2} \rangle$, and consequently each $\langle X_n, \tau_n \rangle$ is a closed subspace of $B(X)$.

(iii) For each n , if X^n is normal then X_n is normal and consequently if each X^n is normal then $B(X)$ is normal. For in the latter case $B(X)$ is dominated by a countable collection of closed normal subspaces and hence normal.

(iv) If X is compact then $B(X)$ is a topological group.

(v) If for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ X^n is normal and $\beta(X^n) = (\beta X)^n$ then $B(X)$ is a subspace of $B(\beta X)$ and hence a topological group.

We shall need some slight generalizations of 2.1 (iv),(v), in order to be able to show that for the space X from Section 4, $B(X)$ is a topological group. The proofs are almost identical to the ones in [HavM], but for the readers' convenience we shall give rough sketches. First we generalize 2.1 (iv).

2.2. Theorem. Let X be a P_{\aleph} -space with $k(X) = \aleph$, \aleph a regular cardinal. Then $B(X)$ is a topological group.

Proof. The case $\aleph = \omega$ is covered by 2.1 (iv), also $B(X)$ is Boolean, so it suffices to show that the addition is continuous. We assume that $\aleph > \omega$.

As a quotient of a P_{\aleph} -space each X_n is a P_{\aleph} -space.

From this it follows that $B(X)$ - and hence $B(X) \times B(X)$ - is a P_{\aleph} -space, too.

Because $\aleph > \omega$, the sequence $\{X_n \times X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ dominates the space $B(X) \times B(X)$.

Thus, it suffices to show that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $+: X_n \times X_n \rightarrow X_{2n}$ is continuous.

By 1.3(iii) and 2.1(iii) X^n and X_n are normal, in particular X_n is Hausdorff. So by 1.3(ii) $\varphi_n \times \varphi_n: X^n \times X^n \rightarrow X_n \times X_n$ is closed. But now if $F \subseteq X_{2n}$ is closed then $+\leftarrow[F] = (\varphi_n \times \varphi_n)[h\leftarrow\varphi_{2n}\leftarrow[F]]$ is closed, where $h: X^n \times X^n \rightarrow X^{2n}$ is the obvious homomorphism.

Next we generalize 2.1(v).

2.3. Lemma. Let Y be a dense subspace of X and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that Y_n is completely regular and Y^n is C^* -embedded in X^n .

Then Y_n is a C^* -embedded subspace of X_n .

Proof. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y^n & \xrightarrow{i} & X^n \\ \varphi_n^Y \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_n^X \\ Y_n & \xrightarrow{j} & X_n \end{array}$$

where i and j are the inclusion maps.

$\varphi_n^X \circ i$ is continuous, $\varphi_n^X \circ i = j \circ \varphi_n^Y$ and φ_n^Y is quotient, so j is continuous.

Let $f: Y_n \rightarrow [0,1]$ be continuous. We shall find a continuous $g: X_n \rightarrow [0,1]$ with $g \circ j = f$. Let $\bar{f} = f \circ \varphi_n^Y$ and let $\bar{g}: X^n \rightarrow [0,1]$ be the (unique) extension of \bar{f} .

From the fact that \bar{f} is constant on the fibers of φ_n^Y it is easy to deduce that \bar{g} is constant on the fibers of φ_n^X . Thus, \bar{g} induces a function $g: X_n \rightarrow [0,1]$ with $g \circ \varphi_n^X = \bar{g}$ and g is continuous because \bar{g} is continuous and φ_n^X is quotient.

These two facts plus the complete regularity of Y_n establish that Y_n is a C^* -embedded subspace of X_n .

2.4. Theorem. Let Y be a dense subspace of X such that $B(Y)$ is completely regular and Y^n is C^* -embedded in X^n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $B(Y)$ is a C^* -embedded subspace of $B(X)$.

Proof.

If $U \subseteq B(X)$ is open then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $U \cap B(Y) \cap Y_n = U \cap Y_n = U \cap X_n \cap Y_n$ is open in Y_n , so $U \cap B(Y)$ is open in $B(Y)$.

If $f: B(Y) \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain a (unique) extension $g_n: X_n \rightarrow [0,1]$ of $f \upharpoonright Y_n$. It is easy to check that the g_n 's are compatible and that $g = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_n$ is a continuous extension of f .

2.5. Corollary. If X and Y are as in 2.4, then $B(Y)$ is a topological group if $B(X)$ is.

3. Dowker spaces. We describe Rudin's Dowker space and give some variations.

3.0. Construction. Let \aleph_0 be a cardinal and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let \aleph_n be the n^{th} successor of \aleph_0 . Let $P = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \aleph_n + 1$ i.e. the box product (see e.g. [Wi]) of the ordinal spaces $\aleph_1 + 1, \aleph_2 + 1, \dots$. Let $X' = \{f \in P: \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ cf}(f(n)) > \aleph_0\}$ and $X = \{f \in X': \exists i \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ cf}(f(n)) \leq \aleph_i\}$.

Then X is always a Dowker space. We shall briefly indicate why and refer to [Ru] for full proofs.

3.1. X is not countably paracompact [Ru, II]. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $D_n = \{f \in X: \exists i \geq n \text{ } f(i) = \aleph_i\}$. Then $\{D_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ witnesses that X is not countably paracompact.

3.2. X is dense in X' .

3.3. If A and B are closed and disjoint in X then their closures are disjoint in X' ([Ru] Lemmas 5 and 6). Lemma 5 says that X' is a P_{ω_1} -space and Lemma 6 establishes that $\overline{A}_n \cap \overline{B}_n = \emptyset$ for all n where $A_n = \{f \in A: \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ cf}(f(i)) \leq \aleph_n\}$ (closures in X').

In Section 4 we shall reprove that X' is paracompact, thereby establishing (collectionwise) normality of X .

For the rest of this section we let $\aleph_0 = \omega_0$ so that $\aleph_i = \omega_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover we shall call this Dowker space R . We shall show that if $2^\omega \geq \omega_2$ then $B(R)$ is not a topological group.

3.4. Let H be a topological group which is also a P_{ω_1} -space

then H has a local base at the identity consisting of open subgroups. For let $U_0 \ni e$ be open. Inductively find open $U_n \ni e$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that always $U_n = U_n^{-1}$ and $U_{n+1}^2 \subseteq U_n$. Then $\mathbb{N} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ is an open subgroup contained in U_0 .

3.5. Let G be an open subgroup of $B(R)$. For $x \in R$ let $G_x = \{y: x + y \in G\}$, then $\{G_x: x \in R\}$ is an open partition of R . Note that G_x is the intersection of R and the coset $x + G$.

3.6. Let $f \in P$ be such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $0 < f(n) < \omega_n$ and $f(n) < f(n+1)$ and $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(n) = \omega_\omega$.

For $A \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ let $C_A = \{h \in R: n \in A \leftrightarrow h(n) \leq f(n)\}$. Then $\mathcal{C} = \{C_A: A \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega\}$ is a clopen partition of R of size 2^ω .

For each A find $x_{A,1}, x_{A,2} \in C_A$ such that

- for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $cf(x_{A,1}(n)) = \omega_1$ and $x_{A,1}(n)$ is not isolated in $\{\alpha \in \omega_n: cf(\alpha) > \omega_0\}$
- for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $cf(x_{A,2}(n)) = \omega_2$.

Now using $2^\omega \geq \omega_2$ we extract from \mathcal{C} a clopen partition $\{V_\alpha: \alpha \in \omega_2\}$ of R together with points $\{x_\alpha: \alpha \in \omega_2\}$ such that

- (i) $x_\alpha \in V_\alpha$ for each α .
- (ii) If $\alpha \in \omega_1$ then there is a decreasing sequence $\{C_{\alpha\beta}: \beta \in \omega_2\}$ of clopen sets with $x_\alpha \in \bigcap_{\beta \in \omega_2} C_{\alpha\beta}$ but $x_\alpha \notin \text{Int}(\bigcap_{\beta \in \omega_2} C_{\alpha\beta})$
- (iii) if $\alpha \in \omega_2 \setminus \omega_1$, a similar sequence $\{C_{\alpha\beta}: \beta \in \omega_1\}$ of length ω_1 .

3.7. For $\alpha \in \omega_2$ define \mathcal{D}_α as follows:

$$\text{if } \alpha \in \omega_1 \quad \mathcal{D}_\alpha = \{V_\beta: \beta \in \omega_1 \wedge \beta + \alpha\} \cup \\ \cup \{C_{\gamma,\alpha}: \gamma \in \omega_2 \setminus \omega_1\} \cup \{V_\gamma \setminus C_{\gamma,\alpha}: \gamma \in \omega_1 \setminus \omega_0\}$$

if $\alpha \in \omega_2 \setminus \omega_1$, $\mathcal{D}_\alpha = \{V_\beta : \beta \in \omega_2 \setminus \omega_1 \wedge \beta \neq \alpha\} \cup$
 $\cup \{C_{\gamma,\alpha} : \gamma \in \omega_1\} \cup \{V_\gamma \setminus C_{\gamma,\alpha} : \gamma \in \omega_1\}$.

For each $\alpha \in \omega_2$ $\mathcal{D}_\alpha \cup \{V_\alpha\}$ is a clopen partition of R .

3.8. We define an open set $0 \in X^4$ as follows:

$$0 = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \omega_2} V_\alpha^4 \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \omega_2} \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha} \bigcup_{\sigma \in S_4} \sigma[V_\alpha^2 \times W^2]$$

(S_4 acts on X^4 in the obvious way $\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_4) = (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(4)})$).

Then $0 = \mathcal{G}_4^{-1}[\mathcal{G}_4[0]]$ so that $\mathcal{G}_4[0]$ is a neighborhood of 0 in X_4 (the verification is straightforward).

3.9. Now suppose that G is an open subgroup of $B(R)$ such that $G \cap X_4 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_4[0]$; we shall show that this gives a contradiction.

The partition $\{G_x : x \in R\}$ has the following property:

if $\{a, b, c, d\} \cap G_x$ has 0, 2 or 4 elements for each $x \in R$ then
 $a + b + c + d \in G$.

Any partition refining $\{G_x : x \in R\}$ also has this property, so \mathcal{W} ,
the common refinement of $\{G_x : x \in R\}$ and $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_2\}$ also has this
property.

Fix for each $\alpha \in \omega_2$ $W_\alpha \in \mathcal{W}$ with $x_\alpha \in W_\alpha$, then $W_\alpha \subseteq V_\alpha$ of
course.

For each $\alpha \in \omega_2$ let

$$\beta_\alpha = \min\{\beta : W_\alpha \not\subseteq C_{\alpha,\beta}\}.$$

Find $\gamma_0 \in \omega_2 \setminus \omega_1$, $\gamma_1 \in \omega_1$ and $S \subseteq \omega_2 \setminus \omega_1$ unbounded such
that

for $\alpha \in \omega_1$ $\beta_\alpha < \gamma_0$ and

for $\alpha \in S$ $\beta_\alpha = \gamma_1$.

Now pick $\gamma_2 \in S$ $\gamma_2 > \gamma_0$ and pick $y_1 \in W_{\gamma_1} \setminus C_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}$ and
 $y_2 \in W_{\gamma_2} \setminus C_{\gamma_2, \gamma_1}$.

Consider $F = \{x_{\gamma_1}, y_1, x_{\gamma_2}, y_2\}$.

Then $x_{\gamma_1} + y_1 + x_{\gamma_2} + y_2 \in G$ because $|F \cap W_{\gamma_1}| = |F \cap W_{\gamma_2}| = 2$ and

$F \cap W = \emptyset$, $W \neq W_{\gamma_1}, W_{\gamma_2}$. On the other hand $x_{\gamma_1} + y_1 + x_{\gamma_2} + y_2 \notin \mathcal{G}_4[0]$ because $(x = \langle x_{\gamma_1}, y_1, x_{\gamma_2}, y_2 \rangle)$:

- for no α $F \subseteq V_\alpha$ so $x \notin \bigcup_{\alpha \in \omega_2} V_\alpha^4$
- if $x \in \mathcal{G}[V_\alpha^2 \times V^2]$ for some $V \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ then $F \cap V_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ so $\alpha = \gamma_1$ or $\alpha = \gamma_2$. If $\alpha = \gamma_1$, then, since $(x_{\gamma_2}, y_2) \in V_{\gamma_2}$, either $V = C_{\gamma_2, \gamma_1}$ or $V = V_{\gamma_2} \setminus C_{\gamma_2, \gamma_1}$; but both are impossible since $x_{\gamma_2} \in C_{\gamma_2, \gamma_1} \not\subseteq y_2$. Likewise $\alpha = \gamma_2$ is impossible.

Thus, combining 3.6 and 3.9, we find that $B(R)$ is not a topological group, assume $2^\omega \geq \omega_2$. This leaves open what will happen if $2^\omega = \omega_1$.

3.10. Question. Is $B(R)$ a topological group under CH?

4. A good Dowker space. In this section we let $\mathfrak{a}_0 = 2^\omega$ and we let X be the Dowker space constructed in 3.0. We shall show that $B(X)$ is a topological group, and in fact a Dowker group.

To begin we quote from [Ha] the following fact

4.0. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ X' is homeomorphic with $(X')^n$ and the homeomorphism can be chosen to map X onto X^n .

Furthermore we need the following

4.1. X' is paracompact and $k(X') = \mathfrak{a}_1$

Proof. We fix some notation: for $f, g \in P$ we say $f < g$ iff $f(n) < g(n)$ for all n and $f \leq g$ iff $f(n) \leq g(n)$ for all n . For $f, g \in P$ with $f < g$ we put

$$U_{f,g} = X' \cap \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (f(n), g(n)] = \{h \in X' : f < h \leq g\}.$$

For $U = U_{f,g}$ put $t_U(n) = \sup \{h(n) : h \in U\}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Then $U_{f,g} \cap X = U_{f, t_U} \cap X'$ and $t_U(n)$ is always a limit ordinal.

Let \mathcal{O} be an open cover of X' . We find a disjoint open refinement \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{O} of size $\leq 2^\omega = \mathfrak{a}_0$. We define a sequence

$\{ \mathcal{U}_\alpha \}_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ of disjoint basic open covers of X' such that

(i) $\alpha \in \beta \in \omega_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\beta$ refines \mathcal{U}_α

(ii) $\alpha \in \omega_1 \rightarrow |\mathcal{U}_\alpha| \leq 2^\omega$

(iii) $\alpha \in \omega_1 \wedge U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \{V \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha+1} : V \subseteq U\} = \{U\}$ iff $U \in \mathcal{O}$ for

some $O \in \mathcal{O}$.

Let $\mathcal{U}_0 = \{X'\}$.

For $x \in X'$ and $\alpha \in \omega_1$ $U_{x,\alpha}$ is always the unique element of \mathcal{U}_α containing x . If α is a limit, put $U_{x,\alpha} = \bigcap \{U_{x,\beta} : \beta \in \alpha\}$ and

$\mathcal{U}_\alpha = \{U_{x,\alpha} : x \in X'\}$. If \mathcal{U}_α is found make $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha+1}$ as follows.

Let $U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ if $U \in$ some $O \in \mathcal{O}$, put $S(U) = \{U\}$. Otherwise consider two cases.

a) For some n $\mu = \text{cf}(t_\mu(n)) \leq 2^\omega$ (i.e. $t_\mu \notin X'$). Let

$\langle \lambda_\xi : \xi \in \mu \rangle$ be a strictly increasing, continuous and cofinal sequence in $t_\mu(n)$ with $\lambda_0 = 0$ and $\text{cf}(\lambda_\xi) < 2^\omega$ for all ξ .

Put $U_\xi = \{f \in U : \lambda_\xi < f(n) \leq \lambda_{\xi+1}\}$ ($\xi \in \mu$) and let $S(U) =$

$= \{U_\xi : \xi \in \mu\}$.

b) For all n $\text{cf}(t_\mu(n)) > 2^\omega$ (i.e. $t_\mu \in X'$); pick $O \in \mathcal{O}$

with $t_\mu \in O$ and $f \in t_\mu$ such that $U_{f,t_\mu} \in O$. For $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ let

$U_A = \{h \in U : n \in A \rightarrow h(n) \leq f(n), n \notin A \rightarrow h(n) > f(n)\}$,

and set $S(U) = \{U_A : A \subseteq \mathbb{N}\}$.

Now let $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha+1} = \bigcup \{S(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha\}$. It follows that always $|S(U)| \leq 2^\omega$ and hence inductively that $|\mathcal{U}_\alpha| \leq 2^\omega$ for $\alpha \in \omega_1$.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in \omega_1} \mathcal{U}_\alpha : S(U) = \{U\}\}$. Then, as in [Ru], \mathcal{U} is a disjoint open refinement of \mathcal{O} and by construction $|\mathcal{U}| \leq 2^\omega$.

The above argument is from [Ru] but we included it because we need to know that the refinement is not too big.

We now collect everything together in.

4.2. Theorem. $B(X)$ is a Dowker group.

Proof. (i) $X = X_1$ is a closed subspace of $B(X)$, so $B(X)$ is not countably paracompact.

(ii) From 3.3, 4.0 and 4.1 it follows that for all n X^n is normal and C^* -embedded in $(X')^n$, hence $B(X)$ is normal by 2.1 (iii) and a C^* -embedded subspace of $B(X')$ by 2.4.

(iii) X' is a P_{\aleph_1} -space and $k(X') = \aleph_1$ hence $B(X')$ is a topological group.

(iv) By 2.5 $B(X)$ is a topological group.

4.3. Remark. Actually, the method of Section 3 and this section yield the following result:

If X is the space constructed in 3.0 then

- (i) if $2^\omega \leq \aleph_0$ then $B(X)$ is a topological group,
- (ii) if $2^\omega \geq \aleph_2$ then $B(X)$ is not a topological group.

This leaves open a generalization of the question 3.10:

Is $B(X)$ a topological group if $2^\omega = \aleph_1$?

If we specialize by setting $\aleph_0 = \omega_1$ then we obtain a space X for which $B(X)$ is a topological group if $2^\omega = \omega_1$, not a topological group if $2^\omega \geq \omega_3$ and maybe (not) a topological group if $2^\omega = \omega_2$.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [DovM] A. DOW and J. van MILL: An extremally disconnected Dowker space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 86(1982), 669-672.
- [En] R. ENGELKING: General Topology, PWN Warszawa (1977).
- [Ha] K.P. HART: Strong collectionwise normality and M.E. Rudin's Dowker space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 85(1981), 802-806.
- [HavM] K.P. HART & J. van MILL: A separable normal topological group which is not Lindelöf, Top. Appl. (to appear).
- [Ku] K. KUNEN: Set Theory, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1980).
- [Ru] M.E. RUDIN: A normal space X for which $X \times I$ is not normal, Fund. Math. 73(1971), 179-186.

[Wi] S.W. WILLIAMS: Box products in Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1984).

K.P.Hart and J.van Mill: Subfaculteit Wiskunde en Informatica
Vrijes Universiteit, De Boelelaan 1081- 1081 HV Amsterdam,
Nederlande

H.J.K. Junnila: Dept. of Math. University of Helsinki, Hallituskatu
15, 00100 Helsinki 10, Finland

(Oblatum 22.4. 1985)