The last days I have had the time to follow up on the conjecture that I raised with you; today I believe that I am finished with it; should I have deceived myself, however, then I could find no more indulgent judge than yourself. I therefore take the liberty to present for your judgment, what I just committed to paper in the imperfection of a first concept.

One assumes that all positive numbers  $\omega < 1$  can be arranged in a sequence

(I) 
$$\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \ldots, \omega_n, \ldots$$

Starting with  $\omega_1$  let  $\omega_{\alpha}$  be the first larger term, after this  $\omega_{\beta}$  is the next larger term, and so on. One puts  $\omega_1 = \omega_1^1$ ,  $\omega_\alpha = \omega_1^2$ ,  $\omega_\beta = \omega_1^3$ , and so on, and extracts from (I) the following infinite sequence:

$$\omega_1^1, \omega_1^2, \omega_1^3, \dots, \omega_1^n, \dots$$

In the remaining sequence one denotes the first term by  $\omega_2^1$ , the next larger one by  $\omega_2^2$ , and so on, and thus one extracts a second sequence

$$\omega_2^1, \omega_2^2, \omega_2^3, \dots, \omega_2^n, \dots$$

In we continue this then one will realize that the sequence (I) can be decomposed into infinitely many sequences:

- $\omega_1^1, \omega_1^2, \omega_1^3, \ldots, \omega_1^n, \ldots$ (1)
- $\omega_2^1, \omega_2^2, \omega_2^3, \ldots, \omega_2^n, \ldots$ (2)
- $\omega_3^1, \omega_3^2, \omega_3^3, \ldots, \omega_3^n, \ldots$ (3)

in each of these the terms increase continually from left to right, that is,

$$\omega_k^{\lambda} < \omega_k^{\lambda+1}$$

One now takes an interval (p,q) that contains no terms from the sequence (1); for example inside  $(\omega_1^1, \omega_1^2)$ ; it is now possible that all terms of the second and even of the third also lie outside (p,q); there must however be a sequence, the  $k^{\text{th}}$ say, for which not all terms lie outside (p,q); (for otherwise the numbers in (p,q)would not occur in (I), in contradiction with our assumption); then one can fix an interval (p',q') inside (p,q) so that the terms of the  $k^{\text{th}}$  sequence all lie outside it; of course (p', q') also does not contain any terms of the earlier sequences; there will eventually appear a  $k'^{\text{th}}$  sequence whose terms are not all outside (p', q') and one will take inside (p',q') a third interval (p'',q'') so that all terms of the  $k'^{\text{th}}$  sequence lie outside it.

Thus one sees that it is possible to make an infinite sequence of intervals

$$(p,q), (p',q'), (p'',q''), \dots$$

in which each contains the next and whose relationship with the sequences (1), (2),  $(3), \ldots$  is as follows:

The terms of the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, ...,  $k - 1^{st}$  lie outside (p, q)

those of the  $k^{\text{th}}, \ldots, k' - 1^{\text{th}}$  lie outside (p', q')those of the  $k'^{\text{th}}, \ldots, k'' - 1^{\text{th}}$  lie outside (p'', q'')

There can now be determined at least one number, I call it  $\eta$  that belongs to the interior of all these intervals; of this number, that is clearly > 0 and < 1 one readily sees that it does not occur in any of our sequences  $(1), (2), \ldots, (n)$ . Thus one would, assuming that all numbers > 0 and < 1 occur in the sequence (I), be lead to the opposite result that a certain number  $\eta$  that is > 0 and < 1 could not be found among the terms of (I); consequently the assumption was erroneous.

Thus I believe I have finally found the reason why the entity that I denoted by (x) in my earlier letters can not be put into correspondence with that which I denoted (n).

 $\mathbf{2}$